

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

11 **MARVIN G. HOLLIS,**

12 Plaintiff,

13 v.

14 **ELOY MEDINA,**

15 Defendant.
16

C 07-2980 THE (PR)

**[PROPOSED] ORDER
GRANTING MOTION TO
DISMISS**

17 Plaintiff Marvin G. Hollis is a state inmate incarcerated at High Desert State Prison.
18 He filed this action under 42 U.S.C. § 1983 and makes a First Amendment claim against
19 Defendant E. Medina.

20 Defendant filed a motion to dismiss the action because Plaintiff failed to exhaust his
21 available administrative remedies before filing suit, as required by the Prison Litigation Reform
22 Act, 42 U.S.C. § 1997e(a) and failed to state a claim for relief. Defendant argued that Plaintiff
23 failed to exhaust his available administrative remedies because: (1) Plaintiff failed to exhaust his
24 available administrative remedies before filing this federal civil rights action; and, (2) Plaintiff
25 failed to pursue his administrative appeals to exhaustion through the requisite third level of
26 review. Defendant also argued that Plaintiff did not state a claim for relief against Defendant.
27 Thus, Defendant requested that Plaintiff's action be dismissed for failure to properly exhaust
28

1 available administrative remedies before filing suit, as required by the Prison Litigation Reform
2 Act and for failure to state a claim for relief against Defendant.

3 After full consideration of all pleadings and good cause appearing, the Court grants
4 Defendant's motion to dismiss the action.

5 IT IS SO ORDERED.

6
7 Dated: _____

The Honorable Thelton E. Henderson